

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.   | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |
|---|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/588,205  | 04/24/2008  | Ulrich Schwaneberg   | Q96421              | 6752             |  |
| 23373. 7590 68/19/2010<br>SUGHRUE MION, PLLC<br>2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W.<br>SUITE 800<br>WASHINGTON, DC 20037 |             |                      | EXAM                | EXAMINER         |  |
|   |             |                      | KELLY, R            | KELLY, ROBERT M  |  |
|   |             |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |  |
|   |             |                      | 1633                |                  |  |
|   |             |                      |                     |                  |  |
|   |             |                      | NOTIFICATION DATE   | DELIVERY MODE    |  |
|   |             |                      | 08/19/2010          | ELECTRONIC       |  |

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

sughrue@sughrue.com PPROCESSING@SUGHRUE.COM USPTO@SUGHRUE.COM

# Office Action Summary

| Application No. | Applicant(s) |        |
|-----------------|--------------|--------|
| 10/588,205      | SCHWANEBERG  | ET AL. |
| Examiner        | Art Unit     |        |
| ROBERT M. KELLY | 1633         |        |

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS,

- WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.
- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a repty be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

| G | ameu patem | tenn adjustment. | 366.31 | CFK | 1.704(0). |
|---|------------|------------------|--------|-----|-----------|
|   |            |                  |        |     |           |

| S. Patent and T<br>TOL-326 (F | Trademark Office<br>Rev. 08-06)   | Office Action Summary                        | Part of Paper No./Mail Date 20100815                                |  |  |
|-------------------------------|---|--|---|--|--|
| 3) Infor                      | ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F<br>mation Disclosure Statement(c) (FTO/SB/CS)<br>er No(s)/Mail Date       | 5)   | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. Notice of Informal Patent Application Other: |  |  |
| 1) Notic                      | ce of References Cited (PTO-892)  |  | Interview Summary (PTO-413)   |  |  |
| Attachmen                     | nt(s)   |  |   |  |  |
| * 5                           | See the attached detailed Office action   | on for a list of the certified co            | opies not received.   |  |  |
|                               | application from the Internation  | ,  | ,   |  |  |
|                               |   |  | ave been received in this National Stage                            |  |  |
|                               | 2. Certified copies of the priority   | documents have been rece                     | eived in Application No   |  |  |
|                               | 1. Certified copies of the priority   | documents have been rece                     | eived.  |  |  |
|                               | ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:   | ,  | 3 ***(=) (=)  |  |  |
|                               | Acknowledgment is made of a claim   | for foreign priority under 35                | 5 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).                                       |  |  |
| Priority (                    | under 35 U.S.C. § 119   |  |   |  |  |
| 11)                           |   |  | e attached Office Action or form PTO-152.                           |  |  |
|                               |   |  | e drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).                   |  |  |
| 10)                           | Applicant may not request that any obje   |  | •   |  |  |
|                               | The specification is objected to by the<br>The drawing(s) filed on is/are:  |  | iected to by the Evaminer   |  |  |
|                               | •   |  |   |  |  |
| Annliest                      | ion Papers  |  |   |  |  |
| 8)🖂                           | Claim(s) 1-11 are subject to restricti  | on and/or election requirem                  | nent.   |  |  |
|                               | Claim(s) is/are objected to.  |  |   |  |  |
|                               | Claim(s) is/are rejected.   |  |   |  |  |
|                               | Claim(s) is/are allowed.  |  |   |  |  |
|                               | Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. |  |   |  |  |
|                               |   | annlication                                  |   |  |  |
| Dienocit                      | ion of Claims   | •  |   |  |  |
| -,                            | closed in accordance with the practi  | •  |   |  |  |
|                               |   | <i>,</i> —                                   | rmal matters, prosecution as to the merits is                       |  |  |
|                               |   | 2b)∏ This action is non-fin                  | al  |  |  |
| 4)[                           | Responsive to communication(s) file   | 26 Fahruari 2000                             |   |  |  |
| Status                        | paronic to management.  |  |   |  |  |
| Any                           | reply received by the Office later than three months a<br>set patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).              | after the mailing date of this communication | ation, even if timely filed, may reduce any                         |  |  |

#### DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-11 are presently pending as originally filed and subject to the following restriction and/or species election requirements.

Claims 3-11 are objected to for improper dependency. Claims 3-11 are multiplydepending from multiple-depending claims. Such is improper under US practice, and technically causes such claims to be non-considered. However, in order to expedite prosecution, the Examiner will consider all of Claims 3-6 and 8 to depend from Claim 1.

#### Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 2, drawn to a vesicle comprising a pore-forming unit and a binding substance that cannot substantially traverse the pore, wherein the binding substance contains a chemical structure to form either an ionic, hydrogen, or hydrophobic bond.

Group II, claim(s) 3, drawn to a vesicle comprising a pore-forming unit and a binding substance that cannot substantially traverse the pore, wherein the pore contains a protein selected from a Markush group of structures.

Group III, claim(s) 4, drawn to a vesicle comprising a pore-forming unit and a binding substance that cannot substantially traverse the pore, wherein the inside pore diameter is 1nm or less.

Group IV, claim(s) 5, drawn to a vesicle comprising a pore-forming unit and a binding substance that cannot substantially traverse the pore, wherein the formed pore is enantioselective.

Art Unit: 1633

Group V, claim(s) 6-7, drawn to a vesicle comprising a pore-forming unit and a binding substance that cannot substantially traverse the pore, wherein the interior of the vesicle contains a positively charged polymer (it is noted that an oligomer is a polymer).

Group VI, claim(s) 8-11, drawn to methods of binding and releasing a substance and a nucleic acid, respectively, to the vesicle of Claim 1.

The groups of inventions listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

The special technical feature is a vesicle comprising amphipathic molecules in the membrane, and a pore-forming unit in the membrane. Such is anticipated by a cell, any eukaryotic or prokaryotic cell. Hence, because such has been long-known in the Art, the claim is anticipated. In addition, the cells comprise substances, e.g., proteins, which have positive and negative charges, as well as OH groups and hydrophobic regions. In addition, the cells all have transmembrane proteins. The pore diameter may be 1nm or less, e.g., in a hydrogen pump. Further, cells often comprise enantioselective pores. The same cells comprise positively-charged polymers (e.g., histones), and cells bind nucleic acids and digest them for use. Lastly, at least neural cells release some nucleic acids as neurotransmitters. Hence, all the various limitations appear to be taught, on top of the broad claim. Therefore, the special technical features are taught. Moreover, each limitation requires distinct considerations for their structure which would pose a serious burden for the Examiner to search and consider each invention together, further demonstrating a lack of general inventive concept. Therefore, the groupings are properly restricted.

Claim 1 link(s) inventions I-V. The restriction requirement between the linked inventions is **subject to** the nonallowance of the linking claim(s), claim 1. Upon the indication of allowability of the linking claim(s), the restriction requirement as to the linked inventions **shall** be withdrawn and any claim(s) depending from or otherwise requiring all the limitations of the allowable linking claim(s) will be rejoined and fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104 Claims that require all the limitations of an allowable linking claim will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.

Art Unit: 1633

Applicant(s) are advised that if any claim presented in a continuation or divisional application is anticipated by, or includes all the limitations of, the allowable linking claim, such claim may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application. Where a restriction requirement is withdrawn, the provisions of 35 U.S.C. 121 are no longer applicable. *In re Ziegler*, 443 F.2d 1211, 1215, 170 USPO 129, 131-32 (CCPA 1971). See also MPEP § 804.01.

This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

If Applicant should elect Invention I:

Applicant should choose a specific type of bond from Claim 2;

If Applicant should choose Invention II:

Applicant should elect a specific an alphahelix or beta barrel (it is noted that the other limitations are generic to these and hence, are not electable).

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Art Unit: 1633

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowed generic claim. Currently, the following claim(s) are generic: all claims are generic in some aspect.

### REQUIREMENT FOR UNITY OF INVENTION

As provided in 37 CFR 1.475(a), a national stage application shall relate to one invention only or to a group of inventions so linked as to form a single general inventive concept ("requirement of unity of invention"). Where a group of inventions is claimed in a national stage application, the requirement of unity of invention shall be fulfilled only when there is a technical relationship among those inventions involving one or more of the same or corresponding special technical features. The expression "special technical features" shall mean those technical features that define a contribution which each of the claimed inventions, considered as a whole, makes over the prior art.

The determination whether a group of inventions is so linked as to form a single general inventive concept shall be made without regard to whether the inventions are claimed in separate claims or as alternatives within a single claim. See 37 CFR 1.475(e).

#### WHEN CLAIMS ARE DIRECTED TO MULTIPLE CATEGORIES OF INVENTIONS

As provided in 37 CFR 1.475(b), a national stage application containing claims to different categories of invention will be considered to have unity of invention if the claims are drawn only to one of the following combinations of categories:

- (1) A product and a process specially adapted for the manufacture of said product; or
- (2) A product and process of use of said product; or
- (3) A product, a process specially adapted for the manufacture of the said product, and a use of the said product; or
- (4) A process and an apparatus or means specifically designed for carrying out the said process; or
- (5) A product, a process specially adapted for the manufacture of the said product, and an apparatus or means specifically designed for carrying out the said process.

Otherwise, unity of invention might not be present. See 37 CFR 1.475(c).

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of

Art Unit: 1633

election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected invention or species.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions have unity of invention (37 CFR 1.475(a)), applicant must provide reasons in support thereof. Applicant may submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. Where such evidence or admission is provided by applicant, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder. <u>All</u> claims directed to a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

Art Unit: 1633

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ROBERT M. KELLY whose telephone number is (571)272-0729. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 9:00am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph Woitach can be reached on (571) 272-0739. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/588,205 Page 9

Art Unit: 1633

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Robert M Kelly/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1633